



IFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of : **Confirmation No. 6157**
Masaaki YAMAUCHI et al. : Attorney Docket No. 2004_1445A
Serial No. 10/510,977 : Group Art Unit 2821
Filed October 13, 2004 : Examiner Thuy V. Tran
PLASMA DISPLAY PANEL AND : **Mail Stop Amendment**
METHOD OF AGING THE SAME

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED
TO CHARGE ANY DEFICIENCY IN THE
FEES FOR THIS PAPER TO DEPOSIT
ACCOUNT NO. 23-0975

Sir:

In the Notice of Non-compliant Amendment mailed by the USPTO on March 29, 2007, it was indicated that the amendments to the drawings were not proper because the drawing sheet was not labeled as either "replacement sheet" or "new sheet", and was not numbered "to reflect where it is to be placed with original drawings, please correct."

However, contrary to the Notice, no amendments to the drawings were made in the Amendment filed March 15, 2007. Rather, on page 10 of the "Remarks" section of the Amendment, a drawing figure of the Tokunaga et al. reference (U.S. 6,630,796) was reproduced with annotations for purposes of explanation. The reproduced Fig. 7 of the Tokunaga et al. reference is referred to at the bottom of page 8 and on page 9 of the "Remarks" section of the Amendment, and the reproduced Fig. 7 of Tokunaga et al. is included on numbered page 10 of the Amendment, which was included between numbered pages 9 and 11 of the amendment.

Applicants' undersigned attorney reviewed the USPTO image file wrapper for this application in PAIR, and noted that page 10 of the Amendment filed March 15, 2007 was separated from pages 1-9, 11 and 12 thereof. As such, in order to aid the Examiner in